

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 03/25/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address & Mainsteiner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

PPLICATION NO	FILIS	KG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 885,609	06.	20 2001	Alfred W. Mak	5351 AMI-00-12 5337	
7:	590	03-25-2002			
Patent Counse	-		EXAMINER		
Applied Materi Legal Affairs D		t	BERRY, RENEE R		
P.O. Box 1450A Santa Clara, CA 95052				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Jama C.a.a, C.1. 75052			2818		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	App	lication	No.
--	-----	----------	-----

Applicant(s)

09/885,609

Mak et al.

Office Action Summary

Renee Berry

Art Unit 2818



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1),... 2b) X This action is non-final. This action is **FINAL**. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X. Claim(s) 1-25 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) ... Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) X. Claims 1-25 Application Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). 13). Some\* c): None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). 14) Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 151 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Application/Control Number: 09/885,609

Art Unit: 2818

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-19 are, drawn to a process for forming a stack barrier layer, classified in class 438, subclass 679.
  - II. Claims 20-25 are, drawn to a processing system for forming a stacked barrier layer, classified in class 118, subclass 500+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as etching.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,609 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. R. Berry whose telephone number is (703) 305-4544.

Andery RRB

March 20, 2002

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2818 HOAI V. HU